


### Q1 Are you a:

Answered: 13 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Registered Psychotherapist	84.62%	11
other regulated professional	7.69%	1
stakeholder representing a professional organization	7.69%	1
stakeholder representing a service-providing organization	7.69%	1
member of the public	15.38%	2
Total Respondents: 13		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY):	DATE
	There are no responses.	

## Q2 Do you support the proposed by-law amendments?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	66.67%	8
No	33.33%	4
I don't know	0.00%	0
TOTAL		12

### Q3 Please provide your comments here (optional):

Answered: 8 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	-	11/18/2019 9:16 PM
2	An indefinite term seems unreasonably punitive.	11/13/2019 9:07 PM
3	I would prefer it to be shorter than 1 year past reinstatement of the license. I think 6 months is long enough, but support this change when compared to the information being on the public registry indefinitely.	10/31/2019 1:13 PM
4	Instead of one year listing, it should be for 2 years.	10/26/2019 6:57 PM
5	I fully support this. In the same way we invite our clients to hold self-compassion, and to not be defined by their past mistakes, so too should we abide by those same standards as psychotherapists.	10/8/2019 9:46 PM
6	I disagree than any administrative issues such as late payment of fees be included in the register. This is an issue between the member and CRPO. It doesn't reflect their abilities or competence as an RP. This feels like public shaming, pure and simple. Especially if the infraction remains for a full year rather than be taken down immediately after the problem has been rectified. Charge late penalties for sure, but this oversteps your authority in my opinion. It disadvantages the practitioner for reasons that are inappropriate to air on a public register. The public register is where people should be able to go to make an informed choice about the quality of therapy they will receive, not check up on whether or not their therapist has paid their dues.	10/8/2019 8:43 PM
7	I fully support the ability to request the removal of admin infractions after a 1 year period of having none. What I wonder about is whether such a policy mightn't be extended to other complaints and issues that are unrelated to the practice of therapy?	10/8/2019 7:03 PM
8	I think it is wholly inappropriate to be posting non-payment of fees information on one's registration history. To do so puts registrants in a position where they may lose potential clients and therefore, the opportunity to earn an income, for not paying their registration fees. What about the person whose cheque bounces because of insufficient funds? You're creating an elitist system, whereby those who are in more financially precarious circumstances fall lower on the hierarchy in terms of appeal to potential clients, a hierarchy which need not exist. Naming and shaming people for having insufficient funds is completely inconsistent with the values that guide the ethical practice of psychotherapy.	10/4/2019 4:14 PM